

OCT-17-2008 14:47

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER 703 836 2787 P.01  
OCT 17 2008

**OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC**

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

October 17, 2008

277 SOUTH WASHINGTON STREET  
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

TELEPHONE: (703) 836-6400  
FACSIMILE: (703) 836-2787  
E-MAIL: EMAIL@OLIFF.COM  
WWW.OLIFF.COM

**U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET**

To: In re the Application of  Xing Li et al.  Application No.: 10/709,833  Filed: June 1, 2004  For: SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR ADJUSTING PIXEL CLASSIFICATION USING BACKGROUND DETECTION	<b>FOR FILING IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE</b>  Group Art Unit: 2624  Docket No.: 119021
---	---

Examiner: A. WOLDEMARIAM

Facsimile: (571) 273-8300

From: Robert G. Bachner

Prepared By: emd

Number of Pages Sent (Including cover sheet): 2

**Comments:**

Attached is an Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form.

Sent by: emd

This facsimile is intended only for the use of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office and contains confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this facsimile is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by facsimile or telephone, and return the facsimile to us by mail at the above address.

OCT 17 2008

## Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/709,833 First Named Applicant: Xing Li  
 Examiner: A. Art Unit: 2624 Status of Application: Pending  
WOLDEMARIAM

## Tentative Participants:

- (1) A. Woldemariam (2) Robert Bachner  
 (3) Mario Costantino (4) Samir Ahmed

Proposed Date of Interview: 10/28/08 Proposed Time: 1:30 PM (AM/PM)

## Type of Interview Requested:

- (1)  Telephonic (2)  Personal (3)  Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated:  YES  NO  
 If yes, provide brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

## Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc.)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Applied Reference(s)	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>§112 rejection</u>	<u>Claims 1 and 8</u>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) <u>§103(a) rejection</u>	<u>Claims 1, 8 and 15</u>	<u>"admitted prior art" and Lin</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Continuation Sheet Attached

## Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

Background detection based on "sampling" pixels as described in Background of Applicants' specification is not "substantially all of the pixels" as recited in claims 1, 8 and 15.

Regarding §112 rejection "without adjusting an intensity of the pixel" will be deleted from claims 1 and 8.

Claims 1 and 8 still distinguish over Ahmed (U.S. Publication No. 2004/0037473) because Ahmed fails to disclose classifying a pixel of an image, checking the classification, and reclassifying the pixel as recited in claims 15 and similarly in claims 1, and 8.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on \_\_\_\_\_

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)